This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO		
09/138,146	08/21/98	BAILLIE		М	2-2		
<u> </u>		halbarra a 7 a respons		EXAMINER			
DOCKET ADMIN	HISTRATOR (F	MMC1/1220 ROOM 3C-512)		CLARK	. s		
LUCENT TECHN				ARTI	JNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
7600 MOUNTAIN PO BOX 636	I AVENUE			2815			
MURRAY HILL	NJ,07974-06	36		DATE MA		20/00	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

,	09/138,146		Baillie et al		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	S.V.Clark		Group Art Unit 2815	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on					<u> </u>
X This action is FINAL .					
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance ex in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quay</i>				on as to the me	its is closed
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). 37 CFR 1.136(a).	Failure to res	pond with	in the perio	od for response v	vill cause the
Disposition of Claims					
			is/are	pending in the a	application.
Of the above, claim(s) 8-20		consideration.			
Claim(s)				is/are allowed.	
				is/are rejected.	
☐ Claim(s)					ο.
☐ Claims					
 ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/ar ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam 	e objected to	by the Ex	aminer.	⊡disapproved.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	priority under	35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-	·(d).	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED of					
☐ received.	,	·			
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Se	erial Number)			•	
\square received in this national stage application for	rom the Intern	ational Bu	reau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).	
*Certified copies not received:					· · ·
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest	ic priority und	er 35 U.S	.C. § 119(e).	
Attachment(s)					
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892					
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, I	Paper No(s)				
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	DTO 040				
 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 	110-340				
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
SEE OFFICE ACTION	ON ON THE FO	DLLOWING	PAGES		

Art Unit: 2815

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 5, 7, 21, 23, 24, 25, 27, 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by MC Shane et al.

McShane et al shows a carrier having a base 40. An inner and outer wells formed by through holes 34 are shown formed about the periphery of the base. The inner well has an outer wall coupled to the inner wall of the outer well. And a chip 52 is shown positioned on the base and is deemed to be removable by removing means well know in the art. The wells of McShane are shown to have an upper surface and the distance features recited in claim 4. Figure 1 shows said through hole wells to encircle the base.

Said wells are shown to be distinct and separate and therefore discontinuous.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McShane et al.

Art Unit: 2815

McShane discloses that the wells may be formed of dielectric and metal materials and the lack of a description of particular materials is deemed to suggest use of conventional materials. Conventional materials well known in this technology used in circuit board structures would include such materials as polyimides and flexible metals such as copper and aluminum. Metal and substrate thickness would also determine the level of flexibility of said structure. As the claim provides no specifics that characterize flexible it is deemed that McShane teaches obvious use of flexible materials for the reasons mentioned above.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 5, 6 and 7 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Budde.

Budde shows a base 5. An inner and outer wells 11 are shown formed about the periphery of the base. The inner well has an outer wall coupled to the inner wall of the outer well. And a chip 3 is shown positioned on the base. Said wells are shown the be formed of a continuous material of metal and said wells are also shown to be distinct and separate and therefore discontinuous.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

Art Unit: 2815

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Budde.

Budde discloses that the wells may be formed of metal materials (i.e. copper and aluminum) that have flexible characteristics shown by the bendability of the bended structure.

Metal and substrate thickness would also determine the level of flexiblility of said structure. As the claim provides no specifics that characterize flexible it is deemed that Budde teaches obvious use of flexible materials for the reasons mentioned above.

Claims 22, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McShane et al.

The teaching of McShane are deemed to be applicable to a plurality of devices.

Claims 1-7 and 21-28 are rejected.

Applicant's arguments filed 9-28-2000 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The claims as they are very broadly recited are deemed to be taught by McShane et al. Applicant is encouraged to call the Examiner to discuss more appropriate language that may be implemented in the claims so the claims may be further considered for allowance.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Art Unit: 2815

Page 5

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner S. V. Clark at telephone number (703) 308-4924.

December 16, 2000